

# A Modest Proposal: Divide and Deter For preventing the influx of refugees into Germany

Rashmi Sharma-Kolle

It is very unfortunate for the millions of citizens who have devoted themselves to ensuring the unity and integrity of Europe. The United Kingdom is in the final stage of annulment from the Union, while Austria and Hungary are giving direct indications that they are under no obligation to stand by the EU during this time of crisis. Italy has refused to let migrants in through its coastal borders, while Greece has effectively annexed all its islands between Turkey and the mainland. Now pressure from Mr. Horst Seehofer, Leader of the Christian Social Union (CSU) and other political opponents has Angela-Merkel on the run. It is a matter of deep concern to the nation. Displaced migrants - they come, they spread and they settle.

Germany is the preferred destination for thousands of people reaching Europe in search of a better life. It has opened its doors and arms wide to accommodate as many as possible, and is now stretched to the limit. I think it is agreed that this mammoth number of refugees has become a matter of very serious concern for Germany. Therefore, whoever could discover a rational but practical way to handle this human made socio- economic disaster, would deserve to win the Charlemagne prize and have his statue set up next to Germania for a preserver of the nation.

But my intention is very far from being limited only to refugees, but to include whomever attempts to enter Germany illegally. This mass herd of humans, pouring in from all sides into Germany, is being supported by our own EU backed social welfare system. Even at the minimum subsistence level, it is exactly this burden of financial responsibility and toxic cultural cocktail that I propose to solve. I have critically examined many propositions, but found them all to have certain shortcomings. My proposal is designed to reduce the chances of so many arriving and mingling with decent and hard-working German citizens.

I have already mentioned that Germany has reached its capacity. I am assured by our trade federation that a refugee without screening and scrutiny isn't entitled to join the workforce and should not be free to stroll around the streets of Germany. I have studied and become convinced by the proposition of my Danish acquaintance in Switzerland, who has developed a well-structured and conservative concept to deal with this issue.

These unsolicited guests should be comprehensively screened through a three-tier concept, first developed by human resource experts from Denmark. The first tier would see a specially built screening facility in the Mediterranean Sea, a few kilometres off the coast of Italy. Here these prospective guests will provide a demonstration of their aquatic dance skills. Those who succeed in this stage will proceed to the next tier. Of course, there will be those who have no experience or skills in the art of aquatic dancing. For this reason, four Olympic synchronised swimming instructors will be appointed as trainers of the prospective guests.

While the first tier separated the physical capable from the otherwise useless, the second tier scrutinises their business planning competencies. At this stage migrants will be required to document their proposals on how they will make Germany a better place upon arrival, a 'Business Plan' if you will. A team of Hungarian and Italian specialists from the business community will then review and rank these business plans in terms of likelihood to succeed. Those with a score of 95% or more will immediately qualify for entry into Germany, while the remainder will move to the third and final tier - the old reliable German bureaucratic process.

My proposal is the most sensible way to usher new life into Germany and bring order out of chaos. It is a 'package deal' which allows Germany to absorb the most physically coordinated and entrepreneurial minded refugees without creating material barriers. It allows the burden to be shared with other nations which sets an example of solidarity in the Union. Finally, for those that don't make it through the process, they will no doubt learn a lot about our bureaucratic system along the way.

Any other proposals past, present or future are impractical in comparison and are no substitute. I am quite confident that there are at least two political parties who would immediately support my proposal upon its review. However, that is not to say that I am not open to other interim measures while my proposal is put into practice. These could include stricter laws against human

trafficking, temporary detention centres with resettlement programs, investments in peace missions in source nations etc. But none of these superficial measures will really resolve the current crisis nor prevent the vast influx of migrants reaching our borders.

I have no doubt that my proposal is the most rational solution to this problem and I have no other intention but to help my country. I realise that the movement of people from one region to another is not new, but this situation is different. We are living in the age of a man-made human disaster. We have created this problem and we must now take responsibility for our actions. I did my share by drafting this proposal.

IJSER