

# Improvement of load ability in distribution systems using distributed generation

Nozar Rahimi, Mahmoud Zadehbagheri, Amin Hajizadeh

**Abstract**— Traditional approach of delivering the electrical power to end-users was done in the way that after required power was generated, huge power plants increased the voltage up to a desirable level using transformers. Then, electrical power was delivered via long-distance lines to the destination. After one or two stages of voltage reduction, consumers received the delivered power. Recently, due to higher demand for electricity and higher output of generation units, small power companies are more likely to exploit the mentioned units in their distribution system and near the consumers. These small units attached to the distribution systems are called non-concentrated generation or distributed generation. Nowadays, distributed generation plays a vital role in electrical distribution systems. For instance, reliability improvement index, stability improvement and loss reduction are some good examples. One main aspect of using distributed generation is to displace these resources in distribution networks. Loadability in distribution systems and its promotion are some keys in power systems. Up to now, displacement of distributed generation resources in order to promote the loadability of distribution system has not been discussed. It is obvious that displacement and usage of distributed generation using genetic algorithm improve maximize the loadability in distribution systems. After the considered flowchart has been extracted, this method is used in IEEE standard 12-bus network. Results have shown the efficiency of the mentioned algorithm.

**Index Terms**— loadability, voltage profile, loss reduction, distributed generation, distribution systems .

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The interconnected systems, power generation is performed in a concentrated way via huge power plants. In early years of interconnected systems evolution, this system had a 6-7% growth rate of electricity consumption per year. In 1970s, some issues such as oil crisis and environmental problems posed new obstacles for power industry. In 1980s, the mentioned factors and economic changes led to load growth reduction up to 1.6 to 3% annually. At this time, the expense of delivering and distributing electrical power increased significantly. Therefore, central generation of huge plants was impractical due to load growth reduction, higher payment for delivering and distributing electricity, environmental issues, technological changes and various legislations. Some problems including environmental pollution, new transmission networks, technology development in industrialization of small-scale generation units compared with huge generation units have led to higher usage of small generation units called distributed generation which are mostly attached to the distribution network and are in no need of transmission lines. Studies conducted by research institute such as EPRI indicate above 25% consumption of electricity generated by distributed

generation up to 2012. Across the United States and Europe, distributed generation is considered as a practical financial and technical alternative for consumers and generators. It also increases the reliability and creditability of power generation. In the most countries, DG includes 10% of installed capacity of total generation. The mentioned value is above 30-40% for countries such as Netherland and Denmark. It is predicted to be up to 78% in few countries including Australia by 2012[7,9].

## 2 EVALUATION AND SELECTION OF INDICES TO INSTALL DG

### 2.1 voltage profile index-VPII

One main problem in distribution networks is improper voltage profile. The effect of distributed generation units on voltage regulation can be positive or negative depending on distribution system, characteristic of distributed generation units and the place selected to install distributed generation units. Because voltage is one the most significant factors in the power quality point of view; therefore, investigation of the effect of distributed generation units upon voltage has been emphasized. Accordingly, DG increases and retains voltage in an acceptable range in the consumer terminal. Using DG reduces the transmission line current and enhances loadability and finally leads to consumer voltage range boosting.

- Nozar Rahimi is with the Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Kohgiluyeh and Boyer-Ahmad, Yasouj, Iran (nozar4706@yahoo.com)
- Mahmoud Zadehbagheri is with the Islamic Azad University of Yasouj, Faculty of Engineering, Yasouj, Iran.(mzadehbagheri@gmail.com)
- Amin Hajizadeh is with the University of Shahrood, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Shahrood, Iran.(aminhajizadeh@gmail.com).

$$VP_{II} = \frac{VP_{W/DG}}{VP_{WO/DG}} \quad (1)$$

$$VP = \sum_{i=1}^N V_i \cdot L_i \cdot K_i \quad (2)$$

### 2.2 line loss reduction index-LLRI

Using reduces the power transmission in lines and leads to loss reduction. Line loss is a significant factor in the condition of heavy load such that the expenditure will be paid by consumers as a higher price for energy.

$$LLRI = \frac{LL_{W/DG}}{LL_{WO/DG}} \quad (3)$$

$$LL = \sum_{i=1}^N I_{Li} \cdot R_i \cdot D_i$$

### 2.3 transmission line apparent power improvement index-LTAPII

Another advantage of using DG is aspect power reduction in transmission lines. This leads to higher loadability and prevents the installation of new lines and other equipments including transmission and distribution stations. Therefore, less money is spent although network is fed with higher load.

$$LTAPII = \frac{LTAP_{WO/DG}}{LTAP_{W/DG}} \quad (4)$$

$$LTAP = \sum_{i=1}^M I_T \cdot V_i \quad (5)$$

## 3 OBJECTIVE FUNCTION

In this problem, objective is defined in a way that the least voltage loss in network buses leads to higher capacity for line. [10].

$$BI = (BW_{VPI}) \cdot (VP_{II}) + \left( \frac{BW_{LLR}}{LLRI} \right) + (BW_{LTAP}) \cdot (LTAPII) \quad (6)$$

$$BW_{VPI} + BW_{LLR} + BW_{LTAP} = 1 \quad (7)$$

## 4 GENETIC ALGORITHM METHOD

The most important optimization methods in order to solve the problems of displacement of distributed generation include sensitivity analysis, dynamic programming method, linear programming method, second order gradient method, Newton Raphson method and genetic algorithm method. In order to conduct the genetic algorithm method for displacement of local plants, some points must be considered: objective function, network loss and network voltage. Loss is calcu-

lated according to the below equation:

$$P_{Loss} = \sum_{i=1}^n P_{Gi} - \sum_{i=1}^n P_{Di} \quad (8)$$

Where n is the number of busses,  $P_{Gi}$  indicates the generated power of the bus i and  $P_{Di}$  represents consumed power of the bus i. At last,  $P_{Loss}$  is network active loss.

### 4.1 using genetic algorithm method

The main obstacles of using the first five methods are approaching local optimized point instead of general optimized point and requiring aid information such as second order gradient, Jacobean matrix and sensitivity matrix. Genetic algorithm is used to resolve the problem of dynamic programming. In the mentioned method, optimization is used according to natural selection and genetic rules. Optimization starts with a series of data and follows a chain of numbers. Subsequently, the possibility to reach local optimized point is less. Genetic algorithm works with a collection of encoded parameters. Genetic algorithm begins to research a series point collection instead of one single point. In this condition, there is less possibility for reaching false optimized point. This algorithm uses main information of objective function and there is no need of aid information such as derivation of objective function. Operational method of genetic algorithm is encoding parameters, creating chains and copying them and finally transforming some parts of these chains. In operational phase, there are three phases including duplication, splitting of two chains and creation of new chains along with mutation operator. Duplication is a process in which separated chains are copied according to their specific objective function. Objective function shows the appropriateness and optimization of what is to be maximized..[11]

## 5 PROBLEM CONSTRAINTS

Problem constraints are: limitation of Power Plants generation power  $P_{Gi} \min < P_{Gi} < P_{Gi} \max$ , limitation of Power Plants generation reactive power  $Q_{Gi} \min < Q_{Gi} < Q_{Gi} \max$ , limitation of Flow passing of lines  $P_{ij} \min < P_{Gij} < P_{ij} \max$ , limitation of bus voltage  $V_i \min < V_i < V_i \max$ . Objective function must be solved considering technical conditions and the voltage profile must be maximized. Additionally, the most important conditions of the genetic algorithm are convergence condition and achievement of considered object. Generally, a maximum is induced on generation and the generation is blocked when mean value of appropriateness is constant for some sequential population and no condition is breached.

## 6 THE RESULT OF SIMULATION ON IEEE 12 BUS

### NETWORK

This network includes only one main feeder. The voltage of bus 1 is considered as 1pu. basic voltage is 6.5 KV and basic apparent power equals 10 MVA. Figure 1 represents a 12-bus network[12].




Fig. 1. IEEE 12- Bus Network




Fig. 2. Voltage profile for 12 bus network using one DG




Fig. 3. current profile at the main feeder lines (1-11) using one DG

TABLE 1  
 The result by using one DG

percentage reduction	Without DG	With DG	quantity under study
%68	60.1	18.7	Active Losses (kw)
%67.38	23.3	7.6	Reactive Losses (kvar)
%30.5	93.6	65.02	Line current 1(A)
%35	81.3	52.7	Line current 2(A)
%38.4	73.8	45.4	Line current 3(A)

TABLE 2  
 The result by using two DG in buses 7 and 10 with the capacity of 0.1 MW and 0.125 MW

percentage reduction	Without DG	With DG	quantity under study
%90.18	60.1	5.9	Active Losses (kw)
%90.13	23.3	2.3	Reactive Losses (kvar)
%56.2	93.6	41	Line current 1(A)
%64	81.3	29.25	Line current 2(A)
%70	73.8	22.2	Line current 3(A)

TABLE 3  
 The result by using four DG with 25% increase of load

percentage reduction	Without DG	With DG	quantity under study
%96	102.8	4	Active Losses (kw)
%96	39.7	1.5	Reactive Losses (kvar)
%81	121.11	22.27	Line current 1(A)
%84	105.58	16.88	Line current 2(A)
%80	96.20	18.41	Line current 3(A)

TABLE 4  
 Comparison between VP, LLRI, LTAPII indices using one DG and two DG

Two DG	One DG	Without DG	indices
0.0001	0.0034	0.0020	LLwDG
0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	LLwoDG
0.0974	0.3109	1	LLRI
0.1140	0.1140	0.1140	LTAPwoDG
0.0322	0.0616	0.1140	LTAPwDG
0.2822	0.540	1	LTAPII
0.0190	0.0186	0.0178	VPwDG
0.0178	0.0178	0.0178	VPwoDG
1.071	1.045	1	VP

TABLE 5  
 Comparison between VP, LLRI, LTAPII indices using three DG and four DG

Four DG	Three DG	Without DG	indices
0.0013	0.0019	0.0034	LLwDG
0.0034	0.0034	0.0034	LLwoDG
0.0385	0.0564	1	LLRI
0.0034	0.1464	0.1464	LTAPwoDG
0.0257	0.0291	0.1464	LTAPwDG
0.1754	0.1990	1	LTAPII
0.0243	0.024	0.0178	VPwDG
0.0216	0.0216	0.0178	VPwoDG
1.126	1.011	1	VP




Fig. 4. voltage profile of 12-bus network with 25% increase of




Fig. 5. current profile at the main feeder lines (1-11) with 25% increase of load using one DG




Fig. 6. voltage profile of 12-bus network with 25% increase of load using two DG




Fig. 9. current profile at the main feeder lines (1-11) with 25% increase of load using three DG




Fig. 7. current profile at the main feeder lines (1-11) with 25% increase of load using two DG




Fig. 10. voltage profile of 12-bus network with 25% increase of load using four DG




Fig. 8. voltage profile of 12-bus network with 25% increase of load using three DG




Fig. 11. current profile at the main feeder lines (1-11) with 25% increase of load using four DG

## 7 CONCLUSION

In this paper, optimized displacement of distributed generation plants in network is investigated in order to evaluate the effect of displacement on network loadability improvement despite stability in system equipments. Tables have shown clearly that 25% increase of load leads to less loss index and aspect capacity while using DG compared with the absence of DG. Additionally, voltage profile index is higher in the presence of DG. More DGs means higher growth rate of the mentioned parameters. It means that the presence of distributed generation systems leads to improved loadability of distribution system. In this situation, there is no need for new equipments. Generally, it is concluded that the presence of distributed systems with optimized displacement reduces lone loss, aspect power and network current. It boosts voltage profile. These enable us to enhance loadability with no need of new network or developing current network. It diminishes expenditures especially in the areas far from concentrated generation resources using distributed generation. Therefore, line capacities are released and loadability is increased. There is no need to have new installation and equipments. The best condition is possible with 4 DGs.

## REFERENCES

- [1] T.Griffin, K.Tomsovic, D. secrest and A.low, "Placement of dispersed generations systems for reduced losses", system sciences, 2000 IEEE, proceedings of the 33<sup>rd</sup> Annual Hawaii International conference on 2000 IEEE , pp . 1446-1456
- [2] - Carmen L.T Borgrs , Djalma M. Falcao , " Optimal distributed generation allocation for reliability , losses , and voltage profile improvement " Feb 2006
- [3] Caisheng Wang, student Member , IEEE and M . Hashem Nehrir , Senior Member , IEEE , " Analytical Approaches for Optimal Placement of Distributed Generation Sources in Power Systems" IEEE Transaction on power systems , vol. 19,No. 4 , November 2008
- [4]- Carmen L.T. Borges, Djalma M. Falcao "Optimal distributed generation allocation for reliability,losses and voltage improvement" ELSEVER 2006.
- [5]- L.F Ochoa, A. Padilha, G.P Harrison "Evaluating Distributed Generation Impacts With a Multiobjective Index" IEEE transactions, vol.21, NO. 2006
- [6]- Ijumba, N.M.; Jimoh, A.A.; Nkabinde, M.; "Influence of distributed generation on distribution network performance" FRICON, 1999, IEEE, Volume: 2, 28 Sept.-1 Oct. 1999, Page(s): 961-964 vol2
- [7]- Kojovic, "Impact of DG on Voltage Regulation", Proc. 2002 IEEE/PES summer Meeting Chicago, IL, July 21-25, 2002.
- [8]- P.P. Barker and R.W. de ello, "Determining the Impact of Distributed Generation on Power Systems: Part 1- Radial distribution systems", proc, 2000 IEEE/PES summer Meeting, Vancouver, BC, July 15-19, 2001.
- [9]. Kylie Bryant , Genetic Algorithm and the Traveling Salesman Problem , Hervey Mudd college , 2000
- [10]. Dr Alex Rogers , CM2408 - Symbolic AI Lecture 8 - Introduction to Genetic Algorithms ,December 2002
- [11]. Mehrdad Dianati , Insop Song , Mark Treiber , An Introduction to Genetic Algorithms and Evolution Strategies ,University of Waterloo , Canada , 2002
- [12]. Jean - Philippe , Ph.D , Genetic Algorithm Viewer : Demonstration of a Genetic Algorithm , May 2000
- [13]. Eric Krevice Prebys , The Genetic Algorithm in Computer Science , 1997
- [14]. Marcin L. Pilat and Tony White , Using Genetic Algorithms to Optimize ACS-TSP , School of Computer Science,Carleton University , Canada , 2000
- [15]. Genetic Algorithm , Beasley - Bull - Martin , October 2000
- [16]. Dr. George Magoulas , Some problems are too difficult to solve , CS3018S
- [17]. Byung-In Kim , Jae-Ik Shim , Min Zhang , Comparison of TSP Algorithms , December 1998
- [18]. Chia-Hsuan Yeh , Graduate Course An Introduction to Genetic Algorithms , Goldberg , 1989
- [19] J. T. Alander, Indexed bibliography of genetic production Economics