

THE EFFECT OF BLUE AND RED LIGHT ON TOMATO LEAVES

Azizov Ibrahim Vahab, Hasanova Kenul Zaur

Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnologies, Baku, Azerbaijan National Academy of Sciences imbb.science.az@gmail.com

Azerbaijan State Agrarian University, Ganja, Azerbaijan konul.qasanova.86@mail.ru

Abstract

Purpose: The effect of white, blue and red light on the relative humidity of the leaves, as well as on the content of photosynthetic pigments, the activity of the photosystem-2, the content of proteins and soluble carbohydrates in the leaves of tomato varieties studied.

Research method: Photosynthetic pigments determined by the method of leaf homogenization, in 96% ethanol, with further centrifugation at 200 g, on a spectrophotometer at wavelengths of 665, 649 and 440.5 nm. The content of soluble sugars determined at a wave length of 630-570 nm by the accelerated bichromate method. The protein content was determined on a spectrophotometer SP 2000, at a wave length of 230 and 260 nm.

Finding: Studies have shown that during the influence of red light, there is a slight decrease in the protein content, an increase in the content of photosynthetic pigments and soluble carbohydrates in all varieties of tomatoes grown in red light. Unlike red light, blue light stimulated the synthesis of proteins in leaves.

Originality/values: Red light promotes the synthesis and accumulation of carbohydrates, while blue light encourages the synthesis of proteins in tomato leaves.

Keywords: blue light, red light, pigments, photosystem-2, proteins, carbohydrates

Introduction

One of the essential factors necessary for the growth, development, and productivity of plants is the intensity and spectral composition of light. In conditions of insufficient provision of sunlight, the process of photosynthesis disrupted, growth, development, yield, and plant resistance reduced. Vegetables in response to a lack of the sun are one of those crops whose adverse reaction subsequently accompanied by a decrease in productivity indicators. Among vegetable crops, tomatoes occupy a special place in response to the spectral composition of light. Among the plant receptors that perceive external light signals, the system of phytochromes plays the most writing role [1, 2, 6, 8, 10]. Three classes of photoreceptors included in this system are:

phytochromes, cryptochromes, and phototropin. Phytochromes perceive and transduce a light signal in the red region (660–730 nm). Plants contain at least five phytochromes - A, B, C, D, and E, which differ in the physiological role [11]. Phytochromes controls the growth, development, plant morphogenesis, the activity of enzymes, synthesis of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate of carboxylase, chlorophyll, photosynthesis intensity, accumulation, and distribution of assimilates. However, in most works, only quick response to the effect of red light studied. There is only a small number of works devoted to the study of the action of red light in field experiments. Therefore, research in this direction seems appropriate.

The aim of our research was to study the effect of white, blue and red light on some physiological and biochemical parameters of tomatoes.

Materials and methods

Five tomato varieties (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) was used in work: early ripening variety Volgograd, highly productive variety Tolstoy, mid-ripening varieties Falcon, 2224, and Rally. Plants were grown in an experimental plot on plots with an area of 1 m². The pilot plants coated with a transparent film transmitting light at a wavelength of 420-480 nm and 620-680 nm during the growing season (June - July). For physiological and biochemical studies, samples of fully formed leaves were taken every week at 11 o'clock. Photosynthetic pigments determined by homogenizing leaves on 96% ethanol, further centrifuging at 200 g. The content of chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids determined on a spectrophotometer at wavelengths of 665, 649 and 440.5 nm, using the coefficients of Wintermans, De Mots, 1965 [11]. The activity of photosystem 2 was determined using a F_v / F_m coefficient, where $F_v = F_m - F_0$; F_0 – fluorecence of “dark” leaves, F_m - fluorecence of “light” leaves. The relative water content was determined by Tambussi [12]. The content of soluble sugars determined at a wavelength of 630-570 nm by the accelerated bichromate method [13]. The protein content was determined on SP 2000 spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 230 and 260 nm, according to the method of Kalb, Bernlohr [14]. Data analysis and statistical analysis conducted using Microsoft Excel. Statistical analysis was performed with the aid of the Stat graphics Plus 5.1 statistical package. The means of values were compared by Duncan's multiple range test ($p = 0.05$).

Results and discussion

The results of the experiments showed that in blue light, the morphometric and physiological parameters of tomato plants change in one direction (Table). The relative humidity of the leaf decreased in the Falcon cultivar - by 12%, the varieties 2224-7%, the varieties

Krasnodar - 8%, the varieties Volgograd- 7%, the varieties Tolstoy - 8%, the Varieties Rally- 15%. The content of chlorophylls and carotenoids increased in all varieties, with slight differences. The efficiency of photosystem 2 was at the same level in the Falcon and 22-74

Table. The effect of white, blue and red light on the morphometric and physiological parameters of tomatoes

Tomato varieties	Relative water content of leaves ,%	Content of chlorophylls (a+b), (mq/l)	Content of carotenoids (mq/l)	F _v /m	Content of proteins, %	Content of sugars, %
Falkon						
White light	60 ±2,3	16,8 ±0,6	5,9 ±0,2	0,66	1,5	3,8
Blue light	66 ±2,3	15,5 ±0,4	5,4 ±0,4	0,65	1,9	3,6
Red light	58 ±3,4	19,8 ±1,2	6,5 ±0,3	0,67	1,6	4,2
22-74						
White light	63 ±1,1	18,2 ±0,5	6,1 ±0,1	0,68	1,8	4,1
Blue light	69 ±1,2	15,6 ±1,4	5,8 ±0,2	0,65	2,1	3,8
Red light	64 ±0,8	20,2 ±2,1	6,9 ±0,1	0,69	1,8	4,6
Krasnodar						
White light	75 ±1,3	18,2 ±1,3	6,5 ±0,3	0,73	1,9	4,2
Blue light	77 ±2,4	16,7 ±1,1	6,2 ±0,2	0,72	2,3	4,1
Red light	71 ±1,9	21,2 ±2,5	7,6 ±0,5	0,75	1,8	4,7
Volgograd						
White light	70 ±0,9	21,2 ±0,9	7,8 ±0,2	0,74	2,1	4,3
Blue light	72 ±0,8	18,6 ±0,6	7,5 ±0,4	0,71	2,5	4,1
Red light	67 ±1,2	23,2 ±1,9	8,4 ±0,6	0,76	1,8	4,8
Tolstoy						
White light	80 ±1,2	24,2 ±0,5	8,6 ±0,4	0,75	2,1	4,4
Blue light	82 ±0,7	20,4 ±0,6	8,2 ±0,3	0,72	2,4	3,9
Red light	75 ±1,3	26,5 ±1,7	9,5 ±0,6	0,76	1,7	4,9
Ralli						
White light	75 ±1,5	23,4 ±1,2	8,4 ±0,2	0,63	2,3	3,6
Blue light	77 ±2,6	19,6 ±1,8	7,9 ±0,5	0,61	2,6	3,5
Red light	65 ±3,1	25,5 ±2,1	8,7 ±0,6	0,69	1,5	4,2

varieties, slightly increased in the varieties Krasnodar, Volgograd, Tolstoy, and Rally. Some decreases in carbohydrate content observed in Falcon and Rally varieties, and a slight increase in sugar content noted in the leaves of Krasnodar and Tolstoy varieties. The results of our studies showed that in the leaves of all studied tomato varieties, an increase in the protein content in blue

light noted. Similar results obtained in works of other researchers. It was shown (Shegolev, Zhmurko 2008) that the carbohydrate content changed during the day: low content of water-soluble carbohydrates observed in the morning than in the evening, which, according to the authors, this is due to the intensive inclusion of water-soluble carbohydrates in the metabolism, as well as with an increase in temperature. The authors believe that their results do not yet give a conclusion on the effect of activation of phytochromes in tomato seedlings on the content of carbohydrates in leaves and plants. However, according to another published data, the activation of phytochromes leads to an increase in the intensity of carbohydrate metabolism and the activity of carbohydrate metabolism enzymes [3, 4, 5, 6,7,9]. These effects established directly during the exposure period or within a day after exposure to red light. In our experiments, an increase in the content of chlorophylls and carotenoids also revealed, which accompanied by activation of the photosystem 2 and with a slight decrease in the protein content. Apparently, under the influence of red light, phytochromes activated, which leads to the acceleration of morphogenetic and physiological processes, which can also realize due to increased carbohydrate metabolism.

Conclusion. Under the influence of red light in tomato leaves activated the biosynthesis of carbohydrates and, under the influence of blue light enhanced the biosynthesis of proteins. It recommended that for the production of fruits containing many sugars, red rays should prevail in the total light stream and, for the production of fruits containing proteins, blue rays.

References

1. Shegolev A.S., Zhmurko V.V. The effect of red light on the productivity of tomatoes // News of the Kharkiv National Agricultural University. Seriya "Biology". Kharkiv, 2006. No. 1 (8). - S. 77–81.
2. Shegolev A.S., Zhmurko V.V. The effect of red light on the carbohydrate content in tomato leaves // News of the Kharkiv National Agricultural University. Seriya "Biology". Kharkiv, 2008. No. 814. (7). S. 205-210.
3. Mustilli A.C., Bowler C. Tuning in to the signals controlling photoregulated gene expression in plants // The EMBO Journal. 1997. Vol.16, №19. P. 5801–5806.
4. Mustilli A.C., Fenzi F., Ciliento R. et al. Phenotype of the tomato *high pigment* mutant is caused by a mutation in the tomato homolog of *DEETIOLATED1* // Plant Cell. 1999. Vol.11. – P. 145–158.
5. Neuhaus G., Bowler C., Hiratsuka K. et al. Phytochrome-regulated repression of gene expression requires calcium and cGMP // The EMBO Journal. 1997. Vol.16, №10. P. 2554–2564.

6. Parks B.M. The red side of photomorphogenesis // *Plant Physiology*. 2003. Vol.133. P. 1437–1444.
7. Peters J.L., Széll M., Kendrick R.E. The expression of light-regulated genes in the high-pigment-1 mutant of tomato // *Plant Physiol*. 1998. Vol.117. P. 797–807.
8. Schäfer E., Bowler C. Phytochrome-mediated photoperception and signal transduction in higher plants // *The EMBO Journal*. 2002. Vol.3, №11. P. 1042–1048.
9. Sharkey T.D., Vasseý T.L., Vanderveer P.J., Vierstra R.D. Carbon metabolism and photosynthesis in transgenic tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.) having excess phytochrome // *Planta*. 1991. Vol.185. P. 287–296.
10. Smith H. Physiological and ecological function within the phytochrome family // *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* 1995. Vol.46. P. 289–315.
11. Gavrilenko V.F., Zhigalova T.V. Great workshop on photosynthesis. "M. ACADEMIA". 2003. 254 S.(In Russian).
12. Tambussi E. A., Noges S., Araus L. Ear of durum wheat under water stress. Water relations and photosynthetic metabolism. *Planta*, 2005. p.1-25.
13. The large workshop "Biochemistry". Laboratory work: textbook./ comp. M.G. Kusakin, V.I. Suvorov, L.A. Chudinova; Perm. state nat. researched un-t. - Perm, 2012. 148 p.
14. Kalb, V.F. and Bernlohr, R.W., A new spectrophotometric assay for protein in cell extracts. *Analyt. Biochem.*, 1977, 82: 362-371.